UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,273	08/16/2005	Robertus Cornelis Van Rijn	0470-048023	8908
	7590 04/16/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING		WALTERS, RYAN J	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/519,273	VAN RIJN, ROBERTUS CORNELIS			
omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RYAN J. WALTERS	3726			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 23-28,30-35 and 46-49 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-28,30-35 and 46-49 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	A) □ Indon in O	(PTO 442)			
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,273 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 23-28, 30-35 and 46-49 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 3. **Claim 23** recites the following limitations:
 - -"the step of filling" and "the space between" in lines 6-7.
 - -"said metal part" in line 7 and line 8.
 - -"said cavity" in line 11.
 - -"the concrete" in lines 4 and 17.
 - -"the concrete material" in line 11.
 - -"the concrete has been poured and at least partially set" in line 15.
 - -"said shaped cavity" in line 15.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

- 4. **Claim 23** recites the following limitations:
 - -"cavities which match with said reinforcing bars" in line 5.
- -"tensile force is applied to said body in the vicinity of a boundary surface" in line 13. (It is not clear where the boundary surface is (i.e. on the body, the formwork, etc.))

These statements are unclear and should be reworded.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

5. **Claims 25, 30 and 31** recite the limitation "said metal part" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

- 6. **Claim 32** recites the limitation "said elastomer body" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 7. **Claim 46** recites the limitation "said cavity" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 8. **Claim 48** recites the limitation "the concrete body" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 9. **Claim 49** recites the limitation "an elastomer coating" in line 2. It is unclear whether this is different from the "elastomer material" introduced in claim 23.
- 10. **Claim 35** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how two concrete parts can be comprised of a series of metal parts in a further concrete part (newly introduced).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. The examiner suggests that the applicant reorganize and clarify claim 23 by first reciting the steps required to form the cavities (using a formwork and a body etc.) and then reciting the steps for coupling concrete parts together. Also the examiner recommends referring to the separate concrete parts differently (i.e. a

first concrete part having reinforced bars and a second concrete part, etc.).

Currently, the claims are replete with errors to the point where they are practically incomprehensible. With these suggested changes, in combination with correcting the deficiencies noted above in the 112, 2nd paragraph, rejection, it appears that the claims would be allowable over the prior art of record.

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN J. WALTERS whose telephone number is (571)270-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,273 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. J. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3726

/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726